Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/768,016	MILLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan T. Tran	1615
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) <u>Susan T. Tran</u> .	(3)	1
(2) James C. Abruzzo.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8 March 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: of record		
Claims discussed: 19-37		
Prior art documents discussed: of record		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand. 	he examiner will provide a wri	itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1) delete the redundant limitation appears in either line 6 or 9 of claim 19; 2) amend the phrase "primarily associated" to "mixed"; 3) incorporate claim 30 into claim 28; and amend the specification to delete all the drawings, and file the drawings separately. Applicant instead, proposed to cancel claims 28-37 without prejudice, and would file a supplemental amendment to separate the drawings from the specification.